



Mapping Global Trends in Feminist Pedagogy: A Bibliometric Analysis

Aulia Novemy Dhita¹, Nana Supriatna², Didin Saripudin³, Erlina Wiyanarti⁴, Dedi Kurniawan⁵

^{1,2,3,4} Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia

⁵University of Georgia, Athens, United States of America



DOI : <https://doi.org/10.46245/ijorer.v6i6.1043>

Sections Info

Article history:

Submitted: August 09, 2025

Final Revised: October 20, 2025

Accepted: November 01, 2025

Published: November 30, 2025

Keywords:

Feminist Pedagogy; Gender Equality; Bibliometric Analysis; Inclusive Education; Educational Reform.



ABSTRACT

Objective: This study examines the global development of feminist pedagogy scholarship to highlight that gender equality remains a pressing concern, despite prevailing perceptions that women have achieved parity with men. The aim is to demonstrate how feminist pedagogy serves as a critical educational approach to address ongoing gender disparities, including those within classroom contexts. **Method:** A bibliometric analysis was conducted on 253 articles and reviews on feminist pedagogy published between 2004 and 2024 and indexed in the Scopus database. Data analysis employed VOSviewer and Microsoft Excel to identify the most influential authors, affiliations, and countries, and to map citation patterns and research networks. **Results:** Findings reveal a dominance of contributions from researchers in the United States, with notably lower participation from Asian countries and other regions. Despite these geographical disparities, feminist pedagogy consistently emerges as a transformative educational approach in combating gender discrimination and fostering inclusive learning environments, with teachers playing a pivotal role in its implementation. **Novelty:** This review underscores the enduring relevance of feminist pedagogy in education amid ongoing sexism, policy limitations, and cultural resistance. By integrating feminist pedagogy into educational practices, stakeholders can advance gender equality not only in schools but also in society at large, contributing to more equitable and inclusive global education systems.

INTRODUCTION

When I was about to submit a proposal on women's equality, a colleague remarked that women no longer needed special attention because, in the 21st century, they had already made significant progress. At a glance, this statement seems reasonable, as women today have gained broader opportunities to participate in the public sphere. Some women have even risen to positions of national leadership, such as Benazir Bhutto, the first female prime minister of Pakistan; Megawati Soekarnoputri, the first female president of Indonesia; and Kamala Harris, the first female vice president of the United States. However, do these achievements truly represent the overall advancement of women? Upon closer examination, this statement reveals a sexist undertone, as it disregards the reality that gender issues remain a global challenge. This is evident in the United Nations' inclusion of gender equality as one of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). UNESCO reports that 58% of individuals worldwide have experienced online harassment on social media platforms (Chowdhury & Lakshmi, 2023), often with the intent to intimidate or demean women (Schiffrin et al., 2021).

The progress experienced by women in the 21st century has yet to demonstrate significant advances in the pedagogical domain. A review of more than 110 national curriculum frameworks in primary and secondary education across 78 countries revealed that many textbooks and learning materials still contain gender bias, leading to reduced classroom engagement, lowered educational expectations, and more limited life choices

(Benavot, 2016). A gender-aware approach to pedagogy is crucial because inappropriate pedagogical practices can reproduce gender inequality in the classroom (Chapin & Warne, 2020). To address this, a feminist approach to teaching is necessary in the following ways.

Feminist pedagogy is grounded in critical pedagogy (Freire, 2021) and feminist theory (Maher & Tetreault, 2001; Misawa & Johnson-bailey, 2024). From the perspective of feminist pedagogy, the classroom should be a learning space free from discrimination against either male or female students, where both teachers and students are respected as subjects (Shrewsbury, 1987). However, what happens when a teacher aspires to such equality but still engages in discriminatory practices, for example, by saying to the class: *"This tablecloth is very dirty, are there no female students in this class?"* This remark implicitly assigns domestic tasks exclusively to girls. In reality, both male and female students should share equal responsibility. Even in such small interactions, the roots of discrimination can be seen in the learning process (Qibtiyah, 2016). Feminist pedagogy seeks liberation through the development of critical thinking, reducing teacher authority in the classroom, and fostering critical awareness of racism, sexism, oppression, and domination (Apriantika, 2024). Through this approach, students are actively engaged in the learning process (Martin et al. 2017).

Vanner and Almanssori (2020) explored students' perspectives on how teachers in Canada should address gender-based violence (GBV) in the classroom. Students expressed a desire for teacher-student relationships that foster a safe and supportive environment for discussing sensitive topics, such as GBV. They also emphasized that GBV education should not only address specific incidents of violence but also explore the underlying causes, impacts on survivors, and strategies for prevention and response. From the teachers' perspective, the implementation of feminist pedagogy in Australia faces challenges because gender equality is no longer a priority in educational policy. Consequently, its application is influenced by teachers' feminist identities and their sensitivity to gender dynamics in schools. If these challenges are overcome, teachers can create inclusive and safe learning environments (Elwell & Buchanan, 2019). Feminist pedagogy can be applied through various methods, such as documentary filmmaking (Hess & Macomber, 2020), cultural approaches (Misawa & Johnson-bailey, 2019) and integrating it with discussions on love (Mei-Hui, 2014).

The above research findings, while valuable, are not sufficiently comprehensive, particularly in terms of quantity, especially regarding studies on feminist pedagogy. To address this gap, this study employs bibliometric analysis. Bibliometrics is a scientific method that uses computer-assisted tools to identify research and publications related to specific topics (Bellis, 2009). It has been increasingly used to examine various aspects of science by providing quantitative analyses of scholarly publications (Ellegaard & Wallin, 2015). This study uses the Scopus database to examine publications from 2004 to 2024 to map trends in feminist pedagogy.

While the bibliometric findings in this study cannot serve as an absolute benchmark for measuring women's progress in education, they reveal that women continue to face various forms of inequality and provide insight into how feminist pedagogy can be implemented as a strategy to address these issues. To clearly delineate the scope of this research, the present study has three primary objective: to identify the most influential authors, affiliations, and countries contributing to feminist pedagogy

over the past two decades; (2) to analyze the most highly cited and relevant publications within the field; and (3) to map the emerging research trends in feminist pedagogy from 2004 to 2024. By addressing these objectives, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive overview of global scholarly developments in feminist pedagogy and to highlight its continuing relevance as a transformative approach to achieving gender equality in education

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a bibliometric method to analyze trends in feminist pedagogy. Bibliometric analysis, also referred to as webometrics, utilizes relevant literature and web-based publications to evaluate a specific research theme (Ellegaard & Wallin, 2015). The data source used in this study was Scopus. The selection of the Scopus database may have resulted in the exclusion of literature from other databases, such as the Web of Science (WoS) or Google Scholar. Scopus was chosen as the data source because it is one of the most well-known databases and applies a rigorous selection process to scientific publications. Data from Scopus were collected on October 17, 2024, covering publications from 2004–2024. This study adapts the bibliometric steps outlined by Fosso et al. (2017) as follows:

1. Determining the research theme plan, namely “feminist pedagogy.” Determining the research theme also serves to set boundaries for the study, making it more focused and specific. The research boundaries also include the time span, namely, publications issued from 2004 to 2024.
2. Data were collected from Scopus using a clearly defined search string, which included field codes, Boolean operators, and keywords. The search was conducted in the TITLE-ABS-KEY fields using the following query: TITLE-ABS-KEY ("feminist pedagogy" OR "feminist teaching practices" OR "critical feminist pedagogy") AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("higher education" OR "classroom") AND PUBYEAR > 2003 AND PUBYEAR < 2025. This format separates the search terms (“feminist pedagogy,” “feminist teaching practices,” etc.), Boolean operators (OR, AND), and field codes (TITLE-ABS-KEY) for greater transparency and replicability. After the search, 253 documents were obtained from Scopus.
3. Data were analyzed and visualized using VOSviewer. VOSviewer is a software program developed to represent data in graphic form in bibliometric analysis (van Eck & Waltman, 2010)
4. Data interpretation. This step involved examining the visualization outputs to identify trends, influential authors, collaboration networks, and thematic clusters related to feminist pedagogy.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

Most Influential Authors, Afliations, And Countries Contributing To Feminist Pedagogy

The growth of articles in this field is associated with the scientific community of authors, their sources, and their affiliations. Figure 1 illustrates the growth in the number of publications related to the theme of feminist pedagogy between 2004 and 2024. A

gradual increase in the number of publications was observed, with several fluctuations up to 2020. A significant surge occurred after 2020, which peaked in 2023. This trend may be linked to the growing global attention to gender equality and inclusive education, which are the primary foci of feminist pedagogy. To further interpret the bibliometric findings, the most influential authors were identified based on a combination of two criteria: the total number of publications and the total number of citations. These indicators were used to determine the authors' overall impact and contribution to the development of feminist-pedagogy research.




Figure 1. Document growth by year

Figure 1, presents a list of authors with the most influential contributions to feminist pedagogy research. Authors such as David, M.E., Epstein, S., Hosken, N., and Vassos, S. demonstrate consistency in publication and play a significant role in the development of feminist pedagogy. The increase in the number of influential authors since 2016 indicates substantial progress in discourse related to this theme.




Figure 2. Most influential authors

Meanwhile, Figure 3. identified academic institutions such as the University of Toronto, Deakin University, and the University of Warwick as leading contributors to publications in the field of feminist pedagogy. This indicates that academic institutions in developed countries play a crucial role in advancing feminist pedagogy theory and practice.




Figure 3. Most relevant affiliation

The dominance of institutions from Western countries such as the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, and Spain (Figure 4) reflects the tendency for research on feminist pedagogy to develop within academic environments that are progressive regarding gender equality issues. However, among the 10 most relevant countries shown in Figure 4, only two non-Western countries, India and Taiwan, made significant contributions. The limited representation of developing countries may indicate the presence of structural barriers or a lack of attention to feminist pedagogy in these regions.




Figure 4. Most relevant countries

Citation Analysis

Table 1 presents the data on the top 10 articles based on citation count in feminist pedagogy research. Issues of the body, gender, and social justice in education illustrate that feminist pedagogy can serve as a tool for structural change. This approach is not limited to gender studies but is also applied in the fields of science education, engineering, and culture, as seen in studies such as *Breaking Body Hair Boundaries* and *Engineering Thermodynamics*. This underscores the flexibility and relevance of feminist pedagogy across disciplines. However, the dominance of articles from Western countries highlights the limited representation of countries in regions such as Asia and the Middle East.



Table 1. Top ten cited global documents

No	Author	First author affiliation	Title	Year	Journal	Citations
1	Linda Prieto, Sofia A. Villenas	California Polytechnic State University	Pedagogies from Nepantla: Testimonio, Chicana/Latina Feminisms and Teacher Education Classrooms	2012	Equity and Excellence in Education	76
2	Brenda M. Capobianco	Purdue University	Science teachers' attempts at integrating feminist pedagogy through collaborative action research	2007	Journal of Research in Science Teaching	62
3	Cecilia Shelton	George Washington University	Shifting Out of Neutral: Centering Difference, Bias, and Social Justice in a Business Writing Course	2020	Technical Communication Quarterly	49
4	Kim A. Case; Heather Kanenberg; Stepen Arch Erich; Josephine Tittsworth	University of Houston-Clear Lake	Transgender Inclusion in University Nondiscrimination Statements: Challenging Gender-Conforming Privilege through Student Activism	2012	Journal of Social Issues	47
5	Sue Clegg, Miriam David	Sheffield Hallam University	Passion, pedagogies and the project of the personal in higher education	2006	Twenty-First Century Society	42
6	Geraldine McCusker	Manchester Metropolitan University	A feminist teacher's account of her attempts to achieve the goals of feminist pedagogy	2017	Gender and Education	40
7	Karen Shelley; Louise McCuaig	University of Queensland	Close encounters with critical pedagogy in socio-critically informed health education teacher education	2018	Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy	39
8	Breanne Fahs	Arizona State University	Breaking body hair boundaries: Classroom exercises for challenging social constructions of the body and sexuality	2012	Feminism and Psychology	38
9	Donna Riley	Smith College	Engineering Thermodynamics and 21st Century Energy Problems: A Textbook Companion for Student Engagement	2011	Synthesis Lectures on Engineering	32
10	Anna Feigenbaum	Bournemouth University	The teachable moment: Feminist pedagogy and the neoliberal classroom	2007	Review of Education, Pedagogy, and Cultural Studies	31

Network Analysis

We conducted keyword mapping of 253 articles on feminist pedagogy using the VOSviewer software. The most frequently occurring keywords were analyzed prior to mapping the visualization of feminist pedagogy over the past 20 years. The minimum citation threshold was set to three. Six clusters were identified, represented by purple, red, green, yellow, and blue, as shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. Visualization of keyword mapping

The keyword “*feminist pedagogy*” emerged as the central node within the network, indicating that this concept lies at the core of scholarly discourse and is frequently associated with various other issues, such as gender and social justice. The connecting lines between the keywords demonstrate correlations or co-occurrences within the literature. Keywords such as *feminist pedagogy*, *social justice*, and *gender* exhibit strong connections with numerous other concepts, signifying that research in this field is inherently cross-disciplinary and employs a multidisciplinary approach.

Discussion

Two Decades of Feminist Pedagogy Research

It has been decades since prominent feminist figures such as Mary Wollstonecraft, Simone de Beauvoir, Betty Friedan, Gayatri Spivak, and Indonesian feminists such as R.A. Kartini, challenged the unequal gender relations between women and men, a struggle that continues to this day. However, this does not imply that women have not made any progress. Beneath such advancements, inequality in gender relations still persists, often unnoticed, or perhaps society has become so deeply entrenched in patriarchy.

The bibliometric data presented above provide scientific evidence that, despite notable progress, women continue to face sexism. Figure 1 illustrates the trend of publications related to feminist pedagogy from 2004 to 2024, showing a gradual increase, with significant growth after 2020 and peaking in 2023. This surge reflects the heightened global awareness of gender equality and inclusive education, which remain central to feminist pedagogy discourse. For instance, a 2023 publication by Castro (2023) examined the experience of a Black female student in a U.S. high school history class who resisted non-inclusive historical narratives and sought to integrate Black women’s perspectives

into classroom discussions. This study exemplifies the ongoing need for feminist pedagogy to challenge racial and gender biases in educational contexts.

Citation analysis further reinforces this persistence. Highly cited works, such as Capobianco (2007) with 62 citations and Shelton (2019) with 46, continue to influence the field, indicating sustained scholarly engagement with issues of inequality in classroom contexts. These data reveal that feminist pedagogy remains relevant for addressing gender bias and promoting inclusive learning practices. Although the number of publications and citations has grown, the persistence of gender inequality, especially among marginalized groups such as Black women, demonstrates that progress remains uneven. Bibliometric evidence confirms that feminist pedagogy continues to serve as a critical framework for exposing and challenging structural inequalities in education.

Challenges and Solutions in Implementing Feminist Pedagogy

Rather than revisiting the philosophical foundations of feminist pedagogy, this section focuses on data-driven insights into its implementation barriers and possible strategies identified in the analyzed publications. Studies indicate that challenges arise when gender equality is no longer a policy priority and when teachers' feminist identities and awareness influence their interpretation and application of feminist pedagogy (Elwell & Buchanan, 2019). Topics such as women's history, gender, or feminism are often avoided because of perceptions that they may provoke controversy (Bowman et al., 2020; Crabtree & Sapp, 2003), sometimes leading to classroom conflict (Simmonds, 2017). To address this, teachers' personal awareness and lived experiences are key determinants of promoting inclusive learning spaces (Stevens & Martell 2019; Sheehy, 2022). These findings highlight the critical role of educators as central agents in translating feminist pedagogy into practice in the classroom.

Several strategies have been successfully employed across different contexts, including the development of instructional media, such as creating interactive toys (Schaper, 2024), using documentary films (Hess & Macomber, 2020), project-based approaches (Granger & Gerlach, 2024), collaborative action research (Capobianco, 2007), culturally responsive approaches (Misawa & Johnson-bailey, 2019), and the implementation of feminist pedagogy models (Malichah et al., 2019). **These** diverse approaches demonstrate how feminist pedagogy has evolved into an adaptable educational model that promotes inclusivity and equity across disciplines and cultural settings.

Although feminist pedagogy faces various challenges, particularly resistance to the discussion of gender issues in educational contexts, the role of teachers remains crucial in creating inclusive learning spaces that promote equality. Through critical awareness of gender issues and the application of relevant instructional strategies, teachers can help address such discrimination and oppression. Furthermore, female teachers hold significant potential to serve as educators and activists advocating for gender justice within schools and the broader community, thereby driving positive change toward a more equitable society.

Bibliometric Analysis: Insights and Key Findings

Bibliometric analysis is highly useful for identifying publication patterns, key information, and research opportunities (Matorevhu, 2024). The bibliometric mapping indicates steady and growing scholarly engagement with feminist pedagogy, reflecting

the continued urgency of addressing women's marginalization in education. As shown in Figure 1 and Table 1, publication trends demonstrate a consistent increase over the past two decades, confirming that feminist pedagogy remains central to the global academic discourse on gender equality.

The bibliometric data show a publication surge in 2023, suggesting renewed scholarly engagement with feminist pedagogy, particularly in discussions of gender equality, women's representation, and inclusive curriculum development. Although fluctuations exist, the consistent growth pattern emphasizes the relevance of feminist approaches in addressing inequality within higher-education systems. These findings also highlight how feminist pedagogy has evolved into an adaptable educational model that promotes inclusivity and equity across disciplines and cultural contexts.

Regionally, the data reveal a concentration of publications in Western countries, while contributions from developing nations, especially Asia, remain limited. This regional disparity can be attributed to several factors, including unequal access to academic resources and limited institutional or policy support for gender-related research in the region. According to Spivak (1988), the struggle for gender equality in formerly colonized nations is highly complex, with cultural factors playing a significant role. In contrast to the West, where feminism has reshaped cultural and educational discourse, Asian feminism is often viewed as a Western import, influencing how women's modern identities are negotiated (Niranjana, 2007). In Indonesia, feminism is sometimes viewed as a women's movement that harbors animosity toward men (Prabasmoro, 2006) or as something that undermines society because it is deemed incompatible with Indonesian cultural values (Santoso, 2022). Such misconceptions contribute to the underrepresentation of feminist scholarship in global bibliometric databases, such as Scopus.

However, these challenges are not insurmountable. In Indonesia, Kartini's legacy continues to inspire feminist thought, especially regarding women's access to education (Sundari, 2019). Future bibliometric trends could benefit from more inclusive publication practices and stronger policy frameworks that support gender-focused research in developing nations. By expanding participation from Asia and other underrepresented regions, global feminist pedagogy scholarship can achieve greater balance and diversity in interpreting equality and educational transformation issues.

CONCLUSION

This bibliometric review illuminated the global development and persistent challenges of feminist pedagogy, highlighting its role as a transformative approach to addressing gender inequality in educational contexts and beyond. By analyzing 253 Scopus-indexed publications from 2004 to 2024, this study provides empirical evidence that, despite notable progress for women in various spheres, structural and cultural barriers continue to limit the reach and implementation of feminist pedagogy, particularly in developing countries (DCs).

Fundamental Findings: The bibliometric review revealed that feminist pedagogy has advanced notably in Western contexts through inclusive academic environments and transformative teaching strategies, such as collaborative research and culturally responsive pedagogy. However, the limited contributions from non-Western regions highlight the persistent global disparities shaped by sociocultural and policy barriers.

Implications: These findings underscore the necessity of embedding feminist pedagogy into curriculum design, teacher professional development, and policy frameworks. Encouraging cross-regional collaboration and integrating diverse cultural perspectives can enrich the global application of feminist pedagogy in China. For educational systems, this approach not only addresses gender disparities but also cultivates critical thinking, empathy, and active citizenship among students.

Limitations: The study's reliance on the Scopus database excludes potentially relevant research indexed elsewhere, such as in the Web of Science or Google Scholar. Furthermore, bibliometric methods, while valuable for mapping scholarly trends, do not fully capture the nuanced, context-specific experiences and challenges faced in the field implementation of feminist pedagogies.

Future Research: Future studies should adopt mixed-method approaches, combining bibliometric analysis with qualitative investigations to explore how feminist pedagogy is adapted to various sociocultural contexts. Comparative research between high- and low-adoption regions, along with policy-oriented studies, can identify enabling conditions and address barriers to implementation. Expanding contributions from underrepresented regions through targeted funding, capacity building, and global research networks is essential for achieving a more inclusive scholarly landscape. The integration of feminist pedagogy into educational practice presents a transformative opportunity that not only advances gender equality but also equips learners with the knowledge, skills, and values necessary to thrive in an increasingly complex and diverse global society.

REFERENCES

- Apriantika, S. G. (2024). Konsep Pendidikan Asah, Asih, Asuh dalam Pedagogi Feminis dan Tantangannya di Indonesia. *Societas: Jurnal Pendidikan Sosiologi*, 14(1), 41–51.
- Bowman, K. R. (2020). *Teacher Approaches to Women's History, Gender, and Feminism in Secondary Social Studies* [Doctoral dissertation, Virginia Commonwealth University]. Virginia Commonwealth University Theses and Dissertations Archive. <https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/etd/6158>
- Capobianco, B. M. (2007). Science teachers' attempts at integrating feminist pedagogy through collaborative action research. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20120>
- Castro, E. (2023). "I can't just keep talking about the men": Black girl resistance in a history classroom. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 28(1), 36–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13613324.2023.2239715>
- Chapin, J., & Warne, V. (2020). Gender Responsive Pedagogy in Higher Education: a framework. International Network for International Network for Advancing Science and Policy.
- Chowdhury, R., & Lakshmi, D. (2023). "Your opinion doesn't matter, anyway": exposing technology-facilitated gender-based violence in an era of generative AI.
- Crabtree, R. D., & Sapp, D. A. (2003). Theoretical, Political, and Pedagogical Challenges in the Feminist Classroom: Our Struggles to Walk the Walk. *College Teaching*, 51(4), 131–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87567550309596428>
- Ellegaard, O., & Wallin, J. A. (2015). The bibliometric analysis of scholarly production: How great is the impact?. *Scientometrics*, 105(3), 1809–1831.

- <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-015-1645-z>
- Elwell, A., & Buchanan, R. (2019). Feminist pedagogies in a time of backlash. *Gender and Education*, 33(2), 156–170. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2019.1680810>
- Freire, P. (2021). *Pendidikan Kaum Tertindas (terj)*. Yogyakarta: Narasi.
- Granger, K., & Gerlach, D. (2024). Critical Feminist Pedagogy in English Language Education: An Action Research Project on the Implementation of Feminist Views in a German Secondary School. *TESOL Quarterly*, 58(2), 954–977. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.3272>
- Hess, A., & Macomber, K. (2020). ‘My parents never read my papers, but they watched my film’: documentary filmmaking as feminist pedagogy.’ *Gender and Education*, 33(3), 306–321. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2020.1763921>
- Malichah, N. E., Sariyatun, S., & Joebagio, H. (2019). Feminist Pedagogy: Claiming The History Of Androcentris In History Learning(Case Study Of Learning History In Madrasah Aliyah Negeri (Man) 5 Cirebon, West Java). *International Journal of Education and Social Science Research*, 6(4), 363–372. ijessr.com/link1.php?id=189
- Martin, J. L., Nickels, A. E., & Grier, M. S. (2017). *Feminist Pedagogy, Practice, and Activism: Improving Live for Girls and Women*. Routledge.
- Matrovehu, A. (2024). Bibliometrics: Application Opportunities and Limitations. In D.E. Green & B. R. Hall (Eds.), *Innovations in education: Global Perspectives* (pp.120-135). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1005292>
- Mei-Hui, Y. (2014). Teaching about Love and Practicing Feminist Pedagogy in a College Classroom. *Chinese Education and Society*, 47(4), 85–91. <https://doi.org/10.2753/CED1061-1932470408>
- Misawa, M., & Johnson-bailey, J. (2019). Practicing a Culturally Responsive Feminist Pedagogy in Higher Education : An Examination of a Feminist Classroom from the Perspective of Transformative Learning. *Adult Education Research Conference*.
- Misawa, M., & Johnson-bailey, J. (2024). Examining Feminist Pedagogy from the Perspective of Transformative Learning : Do Race and Gender Matter in Feminist Classrooms ? 12(1), 123–135.
- Niranjana, T. (2007). Feminism and Cultural Studies in Asia. *Interventions*, 9(2), 209–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13698010701409152>
- Prabasmoro, A. . (2006). *Kajian Budaya Feminis Tubuh, Sastra, dan Budaya Pop*.
- Qibtiyah, A. (2016). Pedagogi Kesetaraan dalam Keluarga, Sekolah dan Masyarakat: Refleksi Diri sebagai Feminis Muslim di Aisyiyah. *Jurnal Perempuan*, 21(3), 162–178.
- Santoso, W. M. (2022). *Sumbangan Perspektif Sosiologi Feminis:Representasi Perempuan Indonesia*. BRIN.
- Schaper, M.-M. (2024). Gender literacy through the making process: A feminist pedagogy approach. *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction*, 40, 2–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcci.2024.100648>
- Sheehy, A. (2022). Promoting gender equality in primary schools through teachers’ reflections upon their own constructions of gender and the implicit messages that they may convey to pupils.
- Shelton, C. (2019). Shifting Out of Neutral: Centering Difference, Bias, and Social Justice in a Business Writing Course. 29(1), 18–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2019.1640287>
- Shrewsbury, C. M. (1987). What is Feminist Pedagogy? *Women’s Studies Quarterly*,

- 21(3/4), 6–14. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40003432>
- Simmonds, S. (2017). Teachers as curriculum leaders: towards promoting gender equity as a democratic ideal. *Educational Research for Social Change*, 6(2), 16–28. <https://doi.org/10.17159/2221-4070/2017/v6i2a2>
- Spivak, G. (1988). Can the subaltern speak Gayatri spivak?. In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture* London (pp.66-111). London: Macmillan.
- Stevens, K. M., & Martell, C. C. (2019). Feminist social studies teachers: The role of teachers' backgrounds and beliefs in shaping gender-equitable practices. *Journal of Social Studies Research*, 43(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssr.2018.02.002>
- Sundari, M. T. (2019). Raden Adjeng Kartini: Between Education and Feminism in Letters of a Javanese Princess. *Martabat: Jurnal Perempuan Dan Anak*, 3(2), 271–296. <https://doi.org/10.21274/martabat.2019.3.2.271-296>
- Tetreault, F. A. M., & Thompson, M. K. (2001). *The feminist classroom: Dynamics of gender, race, and privilege*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- van Eck, N. J., & Waltman, L. (2010). Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics*, 84(2), 523–538. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-009-0146-3>
- Vanner, C., & Almanssori, S. (2020). 'The whole truth': student perspectives on how Canadian teachers should teach about gender-based violence. *Pedagogy, Culture and Society*, 32(1), 21–40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2021.2007987>

***Aulia Novemy Dhita (Corresponding Author)**

Department of History Education Faculty of Social Science Education,
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia,
Jl. Dr. Setiabudhi No. 229, Isola, Bandung, West Java, 40154, Indonesia
Email: dhिताa@upi.edu

Nana Supriatna

Department of History Education Faculty of Social Science Education,
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia,
Jl. Dr. Setiabudhi No. 229, Isola, Bandung, West Java, 40154, Indonesia
Email: nanasup@upi.edu

Didin Saripudin

Department of History Education Faculty of Social Science Education,
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia,
Jl. Dr. Setiabudhi No. 229, Isola, Bandung, West Java, 40154, Indonesia
Email: didin36@upi.edu

Erlina Wiyanarti

Department of History Education Faculty of Social Science Education,
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia,
Jl. Dr. Setiabudhi No. 229, Isola, Bandung, West Java, 40154, Indonesia
Email: erlina_w@upi.edu

Dedi Kurniawan

University of Georgia, Athens, United States of America.
Email: dedikurniawan@uga.edu
