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ABSTRACT

The teacher's conception is one of the misconceptions that students encounter, so it is critical to uncover the status of the teacher's conception to improve the students' conception. The purpose of this research is to identify physics teachers' misconceptions about direct current material. There were 16 Physics Teachers in one of the districts in East Java, including 7 (seven) teachers from the Public High School (Negeri) and 9 (nine) teachers from private schools (Swasta). This research is quantitative descriptive analysis research. A three-tier diagnostic test was used to analyze the data, revealing that teachers' average percentage of misconceptions was 58% in a medium category. The results also showed that physics teachers had the highest rate of misconceptions in the Potential Difference sub-concepts (94%), while the Series Circuit concept had the lowest proportion of misconceptions (25.50%). Misconceptions experienced by physics teachers must be addressed immediately because they will impact students' conceptions. The results of this study are very important for policy makers, especially the Education Office to find solutions in breaking the chain of physics misconceptions.

INTRODUCTION

Students will develop their knowledge while interacting with the environment; this knowledge is a naive concept or preconception. Students will assimilate their initial thought with new knowledge after completing the learning processes. However, students' original concepts are frequently different from the concepts taught by their teachers, resulting in misconceptions. Beliefs that contradict well-accepted scientific theories are called misconceptions (Kuczmann, 2017).

Misconceptions exist in the natural sciences, including all physics concepts (Suprapto, 2020). The concept of direct electric current is one of the many physics topics that is widely misunderstood. Misconceptions regarding direct electric current are second only to those concerning mechanics (Zulvita, 2017). It is because the direct current is an abstract concept. Many students and prospective physics teachers struggle to grasp the concept of electricity (Yunita, 2017).

Among the many misconceptions about direct current material are: In the Direct Current concept, the current flowing steadily declines as the resistance consumes it goes through (Ergin and Atasoy, 2013). The further the light is from the power supply (battery) in the concept of a series electrical circuit, the dimmer the bulb will be (Halim, 2019). The current is divided equally at each branch in the concept of a parallel electric circuit, with no consideration for the value of each resistance (Urban, 2017).

Students might get the main sources of misconceptions from personal experience, textbooks, the language used, and the teacher (Herman, 2016). Teachers have a significant influence on the rate of students' misconceptions. There is significant evidence that teachers are the main source of student misconceptions (Kaltakci-Gurel et al., 2016). A teacher who does not master or understand a concept incorrectly will cause
students to have misconceptions (Suprapto, 2020). Misconceptions experienced by teachers impact the construction of students' conceptions; consequently, it is vital to identify the state of teachers' misconceptions to enhance students' conceptions.

According to a study conducted at one of Bangkalan's high schools, student misconceptions about direct current material are still significant, ranging from 52 % to 86 %. Based on these findings, the purpose of this study is to describe the percentage of physics teachers, the misconceptions of physics teachers in the sub-concept of direct current, and indeed the misconceptions of physics teachers on the direct current material. As a result, it is necessary to determine the teachers' conception; if required, a modification can be performed to improve the students' conception (Kaltakci-Gurel et al., 2016). In this context, identifying teachers' misconceptions about various concepts of direct current becomes an essential item to investigate.

A three-tier test is one technique for identifying students' misconceptions. A three-tier diagnostic test is a tool used to identify students' misconceptions about a particular concept. The three-tier test combines the two-tier test and the Certainty Response Index (CRI) (Hasyim et al., 2018); moreover, it was developed by Eryilmaz and Surneli. The three-tier test instrument has the advantage of differentiating between conceptions and students who do not comprehend or do not know concepts based on the students' beliefs from their replies (Kamilah and Suwarna, 2016); thus, it will be accurate in detecting misconceptions.

The three-tier test is divided into three stages: (1) the first level asks descriptive questions, (2) the second level asks reasons for the answers, which are also attached by options in the form of an empty essay (free response) to determine whether the students experience new misconceptions or not and the misconception outside previous literature, and (3) the third level asks the students' beliefs in answering the items (Jusniar et al., 2020). The purpose of this research is to identify physics teachers' misconceptions about direct current material.

RESEARCH METHOD
This study used quantitative descriptive analysis to identify the misconception profile of physics teachers in one of the districts in East Java, particularly for direct current material. The data were examined using a three-tier diagnostic procedure that three experts validated. The results showed that the Three-tier Diagnostic Test Instrument that would be used had a very valid category and was reliable. The diagnostic test data were then evaluated, and the percentage result obtained for average validity was 92.4 %, and reliability was 88.1 %.

The subjects of this study were 16 physics teachers from one of the districts in East Java, including seven from Public High Schools (Negeri) and nine from Private High Schools (Swasta). The three-tier diagnostic test of misconception was used in this study to identify the misconception profile of physics teachers in direct current material. The
test comprised 15 true and false reasons and level of belief questions that the study subject had to answer.

To analyze the percentages of misconceptions for each physics teacher, the following equation (1) could be used:

\[ M = \frac{a}{n} \times 100\% \]

Description:
- \( M \): the percentage of misconceptions of each physics teacher
- \( a \): number of answers of physics teachers which categorized as misconceptions
- \( n \): total number of questions

To compute the percentage of misconceptions for each direct current sub-concept, the following equation (2) could be used:

\[ P = \frac{J}{N} \times 100\% \]

Description:
- \( P \): The answer percentage of physics teachers in the category of misconceptions on each direct-current electric concept.
- \( J \): The number of physics teachers' answers categorized as misconceptions on each direct electric current concept.
- \( N \): Number of physics teachers who attended the test.

Furthermore, the following Table 1 could be used to determine the level of misconception among physics teachers about direct current material (Widiarini, 2020):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentages</th>
<th>Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 30%</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31% to 60%</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61% to 100%</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
This study aims to identify physics teachers' misconceptions about direct current material using three-tier diagnostic tests. Figure 2 illustrates the percentages of physics teachers who have misconceptions.

Figure 2. Misconception of physics teachers regarding direct current material.
According to Figure 2, 6% of physics teachers had low-level misconceptions, 38% had medium-level misconceptions, and 56% had high-level misconceptions. In a medium category, the average percentage of misconceptions encountered by physics teachers was 58%. It is consistent with a study conducted by Suryadi et al. (2020), which found that the misconception percentage of direct current material for Middle School students is 62.78 %, 61.72% for High School students 45.56% for prospective physics teachers. The average percentage of misconception experienced by physics teachers in direct current material implies that physics teachers' conceptual competence in direct current material is still low. Misconceptions can affect anyone, including adults, teachers, and even professors (Suprapto, 2020). Misconceptions experienced by teachers will impact students' conceptual mastery, as teachers are the student's primary learning sources. According to the study's findings, students or teacher candidates who have misconceptions about electricity will find it challenging to construct simple electrical circuits (Onder et al., 2017).

The Three-tier test was utilized in this study to discover physics teachers' misconceptions about direct current material, which included various sub-concepts such as electric current, electrical resistance, potential difference, Ohm's law, resistance circuit, and Kirchoff's law. Figure 3 depicts the percentages of physics teachers who misunderstand each sub-concept of direct current.

![Figure 3. Misconception of physics teachers regarding the sub-concept of direct current.](https://journal.ia-education.com/index.php/iijor)
Physics teachers had misconceptions in the entire sub-concept of direct current with a medium to the high category. It includes 56.50% on electric current concepts, 75% on electrical resistance, 94% on potential difference concepts, 37.50% on Ohm's law concepts, 25.50% on series circuit concepts, and 62.60% on parallel circuit concepts, and 67.50% on the Kirchoff's law.

**Misconception Description of Physics Teachers on Direct Current Material**

The results of the three-tier diagnostic test analysis revealed that 94% of physics teachers had misconceptions about the potential difference sub-concepts. They assumed that the potential difference was caused by the current, so that if there was no current, there was no voltage, despite the fact that the potential difference was the amount of electrons present in an electric current. The teachers assumed that if the current was zero in an open circuit, the voltage was also zero.

75% of physics teachers had misconceptions about electrical sub-concepts. They assumed that when the switch was opened, the resistance was zero. According to physics concepts, voltage, and current affect resistance, whereas length, cross-sectional area, and material type influence resistance size. A wire's resistance is related to its length $L$ and inversely proportional to its cross-sectional area $A$ (Giancoli, 2014).

There were 67,5% of physics teachers who had misconceptions about Kirchoff's law. They thought that when a branch formed, more current flowed through it in the direction of the main branch than through the bent branch. According to physics, the current that flows through a branching point in an electric circuit equals the current that flows out of the branching point (Aisahsari and Ermawati, 2020). 62,60% of physics teachers experienced misconceptions about parallel circuit resistance. They thought that in the parallel circuit, the current was divided equally at the first and second branches. The students were unable to determine whether the bulbs were identical or not. If the bulbs were similar, the resistance of each bulb would be equal. In contrast, in a parallel circuit, the potential difference in each branch is the same. Therefore if the resistance is the same, the current flowing in each branch is likewise the same. They also assumed that the more resistors added in parallel, the greater the total resistance, whereas when the resistors were connected in parallel, the potential difference across the resistors was the same. It is in line with a study conducted by Widodo et al. (2018) that from 30 science teachers, only 17% of teachers have correct concepts about the parallel circuits, while the rest of it experiences misconceptions.

There were 59,50% of physics teachers who experienced misconceptions in Ohm's law. They considered that the bulb's brightness was affected by resistance before passing through the bulb; other obstacles after the bulb did not affect the bulb's brightness even though the bulb's brightness in the circuit was affected by the total resistance in the circuit. The concept of direct current was misunderstood by 56,50% of physics teachers. They assumed that for the current to flow (light to turn on), just one battery pole (positive or negative) was connected to the lamp. According to physics, if the positive and negative poles of a battery are connected to a lamp, the lamp will light up (Aisahsari and Ermawati, 2020). It implies that a direct electrical current travels from the battery to the bulb when the circuit is linked. According to Kurniawan and Maryanti (2018), 81% of prospective teachers have misconceptions about direct current sub-concepts. 50% of physics teachers had misconceptions about series resistance sub-concepts. The teachers assumed that current would decrease as it passed through a circuit; the other the light bulb was from the power source, the dimmer the lamp would
be, even though the current flowing through each resistance in a series circuit was the same. It is in line with a study conducted by Hesti et al. (2017), who reveals that the student claims that the electric current will be consumed by the lamp that passes first.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the findings, analysis, and discussion, it is known the average percentage of misconceptions experienced by physics teachers in the medium category. The potential difference sub-concept has the largest number of misconceptions in the direct current electric sub-concept, while the series circuit concept has the lowest percentage of misconceptions. Physics teachers misunderstand all sub-concepts of direct electric current with medium to high categories. Misconceptions experienced by physics teachers must be addressed immediately because they will impact students' conceptions. The results of this study are significant for policymakers, especially the Education Office, to find solutions in breaking the chain of physics misconceptions. Future research can be done on another students of senior and junior high school.
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